Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/781,503	OGLE ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BHISMA MEHTA	3767
All Participants:	Status of Application: _	
(1) BHISMA MEHTA.	(3)	
(2) Mengmeng Fahmi.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 April 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic	ant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed:		
28-35, 37, 48, 51, and 57		
Prior art documents discussed:		
none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI It was indicated to Ms. Fahm that the arguments regarding the amendment flied March 31 2010, were not persuasive. After or claims 28-35 and 37. The cancellation of claim 54 was a	prior art rejections of claims 28- insultation with the clients, Ms. i upon as claim 51is not drawn to	35 and 37, as amended in the Fahmi agreed to the cancellation of the elected species. The
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa		
/Bhisma Mehta/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-4138 (04-03) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)

Applicant(s)